

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/781,659 02/20/2004		02/20/2004	Kyogo Itoh	0020-5224P	5788	
2292	7590	09/19/2006		EXAMINER		
BIRCH ST		KOLASCH & BIR	YAO, LEI			
		A 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			1642		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/781,659	ITOH ET AL.					
			Examiner	Art Unit					
		1	Lei Yao, Ph.D.	1642					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appea	ars on the cover shee	t with the correspondent	ce address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months af- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(unication. tutory period will will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMU a). In no event, however, ma apply and will expire SIX (6) I ause the application to becom	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13:	this communication.				
Status									
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>2/20/04</i>	1.						
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ction is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me								
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the ap	pplication.							
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or ele	ection requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	а) 🗌 ассер	ted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objec	tion to the dra	awing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85	(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	n is required if the draw	ing(s) is objected to. See	37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exar	miner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or for	m PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office actions	documents he documents he fithe priority and Bureau (nave been received. nave been received in documents have be PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this Nati	•				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	ГО-948)	Paper I	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application	1				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claim 1, drawn to a method for treating tumors, which comprising administering a tumor antigen protein comprising an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- II. Claims 2-15, drawn to a tumor antigen, derivative of the antigen and a diagnostic agent that bind to HLA-A24, classified in class 530, subclass 300 and 350.
- III. Claims 2-15, drawn to a tumor antigen, derivative of the antigen and a diagnostic agent that bind to <u>HLA-A2</u>, classified in class 530, subclass 300 and 350.

Inventions are distinct each from the other because of the following reasons:

Furthermore, if applicants elect group II or III set forth above, further **restriction** is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- A. elect one single polypeptide from SEQ ID NO: 2-52.
- B. further elect <u>one</u> substitution at position 2 and <u>one</u> substitution at C-terminus listed in claims 8-9 if application elect a SEQ ID NO from 3-53.

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in M.P.E.P. § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to *different* products, restriction is deemed to be proper because these products constitute patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Each of SEQ ID NOs is a unique and separately patentable sequence, requiring a unique search of the prior art. Searching all of the sequences in a single patent application would constitute an undue search burden on the examiner and the USPTO's resources because of the non-coextensive nature of these searches

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In order to be fully responsive, Applicant must elect one from Groups II-III, one from Group A and/or B even though the requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that neither II-III nor A-B is species election requirements; rather, each of II-III and A –B is a restriction requirement.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48 (b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48 (b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17 (i).

Inventions Group I and Group II or III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptide of Group II or III can be used to immunize an animal to produce an antibody, as opposed to being used as a control which is present in the sample taken from normal subjects and used for comparison to patient samples in the diagnosis of an autoimmune disease.

Searching the inventions of Groups I and II or III together would impose serious search burden. The inventions of Groups have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. Prior art which teaches a tumor antigen would not necessarily be applicable to the method of using these antigen. Moreover, even if the polypeptide product were known, the method of treating tumors, which comprising administering a tumor antigen protein using the product may be novel and unobvious in view of the preamble or active steps.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reason given above and have acquire a separated status in the art by their different classification, restriction for examination purpose as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

Art Unit: 1642

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitation of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitation of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of a rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet the criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitation of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See M.P.E.P. 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lei Yao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-3112. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-6.00pm Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature, matching or file papers or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Kim Downing for Art Unit 1642 whose telephone number is 571-272-0521

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lei Yao, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1642

LY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER